Case 3:08-cv-01387-DMS-POR Document 4

Filed 08/01/200 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AUG 1 2008 S C.ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
This some fitte stamp

FROM: E. Lloyd	
CASE NO.: <u>08</u>	cv1387-DMS (POR) DOCUMENT FILED BY: LaSalle Bank National
CASE TITLE:	LaSalle Bank National Association v. Comair Rotron Inc., et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of a Receiver; Points and Authorities; Parisi	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy
X 5.1 5.3 5.4 7.1 or 47.1 7.1 or 47.1 7.1 or 47.1 15.1 30.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued. Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions Missing table of contents Amended pleading not complete in itself Depositions not accepted absent a court order Supplemental documents require court order Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest OTHER:
	Date forwarded: 8/1/08
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected do	cument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
Counsel is a to Local Ru	ndvised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant le 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: DANA M. SABRAW
Dated:	